



**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE**

The Honourable Justice B. Glustein) Wednesday _____ , THE
)
)
) 19 DAY OF November _____ , 2025

**JENNIFER PRICE and
MATTHEW JANZIC (by his
Litigation Guardian JENNIFER PRICE)**

- and -

**H LUNDBECK A/S and
LUNDBECK CANADA INC.**

Defendants

Proceeding under the *Class Proceedings Act, 1992*

ORDER

THIS MOTION, made by the Plaintiffs for leave to discontinue this action without costs, pursuant to s. 29 of the *Class Proceedings Act, 1992*, s.o. 1992, c.6, was read this day.

ON READING the Notice of Motion, the Affidavit of Jennifer Price, sworn July 14, 2025, and the consent of all of the parties, filed,

1. THIS COURT ORDERS that this uncertified class proceeding is discontinued with prejudice and without costs.

2. THIS COURT ORDERS that pursuant to section 29(2) of the *Class Proceedings Act*, 1992, S.O. 1992, c. 6, Merchant Law Group LLP as counsel for the Plaintiffs, within 20 days of this issued Order, shall disseminate the Notice of Discontinuance (substantially in the forms attached hereto as Annex 1 (English) and Annex 2 (French)), by:

- (a) Publishing the Notice of Discontinuance and a copy of this Order on the website of Merchant Law Group LLP (which will be displayed on its website for not less than 180 days); and
- (b) Mailing or emailing the Notice of Discontinuance to every person who has contacted Merchant Law Group LLP to express an interest in this proceeding.

3. THIS COURT ORDERS the effective date of this Order is 90 days after its issuance.

4. THIS COURT ORDERS that there will be no costs of this motion and no costs of this action.


Justice B. Glustein

Annex 1 – Notice of Discontinuance (English)

Notice of Discontinuance – Celexa Pregnancy Class Action

This is an important Legal Notice regarding Celexa Pregnancy Class Action

A proposed class action was commenced on December 22, 2014, by Jennifer Price and Matthew Janzic by his Litigation Guardian Jennifer Price against H Lundbeck A/S and Lundbeck Canada Inc. seeking compensation for individual who were born with birth defects (and their parents) allegedly caused by Celexa being taken during pregnancy (*Jennifer Price et al. v H Lundbeck A/S et al.*, Ontario Superior Court Toronto No. CV-14-518695-00CP). A court ruling has not occurred regarding the merits of those allegations, nor any issues of liability. Instead, the above proposed class action was discontinued by the Ontario Superior Court Order on November 19, 2025, pursuant to s. 29(1) of the *Class Proceedings Act, 1992*. This proposed class action is therefore not being pursued nor litigated.

When a proposed class action is commenced, the limitation period(s) [time limits] for starting individual lawsuits against the Defendants are temporarily suspended for potential class members, until such time as the class action is adjudicated, dismissed, or discontinued. Due to the discontinuance of the above class action, **effective February 17, 2026, the limitation period(s) are no longer suspended.**

No further steps concerning this matter are being undertaken by Merchant Law Group LLP. But you may email heidi@merchantlaw.com should you required any further information regarding this discontinuance.

This notice has been approved by the Ontario Superior Court of Justice. Please do not contact the Courthouse.

Annex 2 – Notice of Discontinuance (French)

Avis de désistement – Action collective contre la grossesse Celexa

Ceci est un Avis important aux membres concernant le recours collectif contre la grossesse Celexa.

Une action collective a été intenté le 22 décembre 2014 par Jennifer Price et Matthew Janzic par sa tutrice en litige Jennifer Price, contre H Lundbeck A/S et Lundbeck Canada Inc. Concernant l'indemnisation des personnes nées avec des malformations congénitales (et leurs parents) prétendument causées par la prise de Celexa pendant la grossesse (*Jennifer Price et al. v H Lundbeck A/S et al.*, Ontario Superior Court Toronto No. CV-14-518695-00CP). Aucune décision n'a été rendue par le tribunal quant au bien-fondé de ses allégations, ni sur la responsabilité de la défenderesse. Cependant, l'action collective proposée a été congédié par ordonnance de la Cour Supérieure de l'Ontario en date du 19^e novembre, 2025, conformément à l'art. 29(1) de la *Loi de 1992 sur les Recours Collectifs*. Cette action n'est donc plus poursuivie ni plaidée.

Lorsqu'une action collective est intentée, le ou les délais de prescription pour intenter une poursuite individuelle contre la défenderesse sont temporairement suspendus pour les membres putatifs du groupe, jusqu'à ce que jugement soit rendu, l'action rejetée ou abandonnée. Ainsi en raison du rejet de la présente action collective, **le ou les délais de prescription ne sont plus suspendus et ils recommencent à courir à compter du 17^e février, 2026.**

Aucune autre démarche concernant cette affaire ne sera entreprise par Merchant Law Group LLP. Mais vous pouvez envoyer un e-mail à heidi@merchantlaw.com si vous avez besoin de plus informations concernant cet avis.

Le présent Avis a été approuvé par la Cour Supérieure de l'Ontario. Merci de ne pas contacter le palais de justice.